

1.4.1

Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni


D. Sample – filled feedback form by stakeholders –

- 1) students
- 2) teachers
- 3) Employers
- 4) Alumni

Feedback of 2020- 21 (sample)

- List of stakeholders 2020 - 21
- Some sample hand written feedback from each stakeholder

- ✓1) Students
- 2) Teachers
 - 3) Employers
 - 4) Alumni

NAAC	YEAR-2022-2023
Criterion <u>1</u>	
Sub-Criterion <u>1.4.1</u>	
Proof format <u>Pdf</u>	
Revision <u>DVV - R1</u>	
 Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)	

OVERALL PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK FORM

YEAR 2020 - 21

SKNCOA values handwritten feedback from the stakeholders namely students, teachers, Alumni & Employer – practicing architects regarding overall performance of the Institute.

1. STUDENTS FEEDBACK

DESIGN - ALL STAFF IS GOOD
THERE IS NO ISSUE

BTM - Small problems in
drafting

Climo - NO ISSUE.

History - NO ISSUE.

TOS - NO ISSUE.

ADG - ~~NO ISSUE~~ BUT problem
in understanding and teaching
problem. ~~As~~ No proper guidance
of technical drawing

Design :- Problem in ~~understanding~~
the plan

BTM - Simply drafting

ADG - Like ADG teaching

ADG - Nice explaining

Humanities :-

TOS - No problem

BTM :- Sometime

Basic / concept does not
get clear.

Design :- Not enough time
for completion that
is why quality decrease

What makes you happy?

(#HappyCollegeDays)

1) DESIGN - NO issues regarding
any faculty.

2) ADG - NO issues but, a little
problem with understanding and
visualising the objects.

3) BTM - NO issue regarding
teaching and drafting and
faculty as well.

4) History - NO issues.

5) TOS - Brilliant teaching

ADG-II : Need to work on Major
Drawing

Design-II : Need to work on
Final Plan.

BTM-II : sheets should be explained
in detail

Workshop-II : AUTO CAD improve-
nt

History :- NO any problem.

Climatology : Need to work on
Journal

TOS : NO any problem. Good
teaching.

FEEDBACK

TOS - Going great!

ADG - staff is good.

Overall Good going.

History - ^{Detail} Explanation need
to be given about

NAAC object. YEAR-2022-2023

BTM Overall is good but

Criterion should be


Sub-Criterion 1.41

Poor format asked Pdf

Design Revision understandable

needs more time for
thinking & submissions.

Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)



Over All teaching was good A little bit problem with history and climatology teaching expect these two every thing was good academics was good extra caricular activities enjoyed. College Maintainance is good.

2nd semester was not as good as the first. A.D.G was not conducted as well as first with teacher just drawing object on board and leaving the rest ~~to~~ to us which was confusing and hence led to incomplete work.

Workshop: 'overload' wall one can say.

B.T.M- mistake was ours not teachers. teaching was good and hope faculty doesn't change for next sem.


Overall this sem was good due to the exhibition and well conducted study hours and if I manage to pass it is because of our respected principal Sudhir Charan sir. I don't know where I'd be without his guidance.

→ BY doing all the submissions on time unaccelerately sheets are given as redo.

→ Much more writing sheets of history & climatology.

- ① Marathi sir not good.
- ② BTM, TOS, ADG, Resign lec was very good.
- ③ For History For more lec and want more explanation.

NAAC	YEAR-2022-23
Criterion <u>1</u>	
Sub-Criterion <u>1.4.1</u>	
Proof format <u>Pdf</u>	
Revision <u>DVY - R1</u>	
 Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)	



WORKSHOP SUBMISSION OVERLOADED.
 WORKSHOP MODEL WAS GIVEN LATE.
 GOOD EVENTS.
 GOOD FACULTY.

Students who worked in the exhibition, whose sheets & models were put where not appreciated but others who bunked lectures & did not work were praised.

Group system for design is leading to partiality by the teachers.

Students who worked in the exhibition, whose sheets were put were not appreciated but others who bunked lectures for it were praised.

Groupism in design has lead to partiality.

Rules are not same for all the student in the class.

submission

Rules are not same for all the students of our class
 * Auto-cad was taken at the last moment inspite it been one of the most imp. topics.

First year really was really good which had many events, functions, etc.


The teaching faculty and their teaching quality was good, & understanding.

- Models were lost during exhibition
- Inspite of taking care
- Students working in exhibition were not praised
- Design groups should not be made
- Submissions should not be taken after the date.

NAAC

YEAR-2022-2023

Criterion 1Sub-Criterion 1.4.1Proof format PdFRevision DV1 R1

 Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)


Very Good College

And excellent Faculty

But Faculty should

Give more lectures

on BTM & Design and

less importance to

low credit Subjects.

MAINT.

we want

Saurabh Sir For

BTM & History.

Overall, college is good

Onkar sir best professor
for Design.

Change BTM Faculty.

We want saurabh sir

For BTM - II & also for

History for 2nd year.

As compare to other institute

Rule on Regulation Our.

Facultity is very polite with

us they understand's us

and helps us out in any

condition. And we are thankful

For it.

I-Sem faculty was better.

Class-coordinator should be same.

Please change our desks

More lectures for TOS

NAAC

YEAR-2022-20 23


Criterion 1

Sub-Criterion 1.4.1

Proof format pdf

Revision DVV - R1

Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)



The planning regarding

Chavan's Sir's subject was perfect as we were on track this semester and the submission work got over on time as well.

Regarding BTM and BS due to lack of starting of these sheets we couldn't complete all.

The explanation in theory subjects like BTM was inappropriate.

Design - Good work this semester. Satisfied

Landscape - Good

BTM - Major problem. teaching was not at all satisfactory.

Topics were not explained properly.

Soft. We have a very little knowledge about the topics this semester and we are worried

• Design studios were really nice
• light environment in design studio showed our brilliant output.
cheers to nitin sir!

• BTM: Got a bit confused when sheets were not explained properly.
• It would be better if teachers would avoid to comment on personal life.

• BS: poor experience

① History (Contemp.):

मंडळकर सरांचे मार्गदर्शन परिणामकारक. प्रत्येक

Lec. मध्ये नवीन शिकायला मिळाले.

② A.D. + I.D. + J.D. -

काम वेळेच्या आधी आणि चॅंगल होऊ शकत याची खात्री पटली.

③ To.s - शिकवलेले

समजणं!

Design: The subject was done in a very positive way. It was very well handled by sir.

Landscape: good.

BTM - Topics were not covered properly and explanation was very poor.
ID - good.

History: way of carrying out the submissions was very well planned which helped in doing the submission on time.

All were Good Except
BTM &
B.S.

FOR EDUCATIONAL USE

NAAC

YEAR-2022-2023

Criterion 1

Sub-Criterion 1.4.1

Proof format Pdf

Revision DVV - R1

Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)

