

1.4.1

Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –



1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

D. Sample – filled feedback form by stakeholders –

- ✓1) Students
- 2) Teachers
- 3) Employers
- 4) Alumni

Feedback of 2021 – 22 (sample)

- List of stakeholders 2021-22
- 01 no sample feedback from each stakeholder

NAAC	YEAR-2022-2023
Criterion <u>1</u>	
Sub-Criterion <u>1.4.1</u>	
Proof format <u>Pdf</u>	
Revision <u>DVV - R1</u>	
 Dr. Sughr Chavan (Principal)	

CRITERIA 1.4.1

List of stakeholders participated in Overall Performance of the Institute Feedback of 2021-22

Stake holders list – STUDENTS

Ashwini Gavali	Purva Gadge	Mayuri Shinde
Shreya Bute	N Tarun Kumar	Sanika Mahendra Patil
Manasi Takale	Vaishnavi kandekar	Ipkal vaishnavi Rajendra
Ankita Kamble	Prachi Deshpande	Pratiksha dholi
Vaibhavi. K .Pawar	Atharv P	Rutuja Vinayak Adhav
Shriraj Dangat	Sucheta krishnarao Bhokare	Pranjal kedar
Mahesh Subhash Dumbre	Mansi	Sayyami Sunil Dhamal
Deepak Vijay Deore	Jayraj Kelkar	Vaidya Sayali Sambhaji
Sakshi Abhijeet Misal	Akanksha Jadhav	Jesinta Nischal Jadhav
Pooja Kulkarni	Trushnai S. Gaikwad	Rutuja Amar Samal
Sharvari Musale	Shreyash Jayant Raykar	Asmita Kumbhar
		Chinchkar Shreya Nitin

Stake holders list – TEACHERS

Ar. Juily Shirodkar	Ar. Priyanka Ghatе
Ar. Neha Masalekar	Ar. Shruti Dudhane
Ar. Swati Tagare	Ar. Aditi Joshi
Ar. Prajakta Pawar	Ar. Anagha Deosthale


Stake holders list – EMPLOYERS

Ar. Neha Masalekar	Unique Designers

Stake holders list – ALUMNI

Ketaki Ashtekar	Sneha H. Trivedi
Pranav Pravin Parab	Rashmi kaushal Markandeya
Priyanka jamdade	

NAAC YEAR-2022-2023
 Criterion 1
 Sub-Criterion 1.4.1
 Proof format Pdf
 Revision DVV - R1
 Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)



Overall Feedback of the Institute 2021-22

Smt. Kashibai Navale College Of Architecture values feedback from the stakeholders, students, teachers, Alumni, Employers – practicing Architects & other stakeholders regarding its syllabus.

To give your feedback, kindly fill this feedback form,

The respondent's email (shrirajkumar7@gmail.com) was recorded on submission of this form.

Name *

Shriraj Dangat

Email ID: *

shrirajkumar7@gmail.com

Contact No.: *



9881022587

Select the course to comment: *

B. Arch. ▼

select your stakeholder category *

student ▼

NAAC	YEAR-2022-2023
Criterion <u>1</u>	
Sub-Criterion <u>1.4.1</u>	
Proof format <u>pdf</u>	
Revision <u>DVV - R1</u>	
 Dr. Sudhir Chavan (Principal)	



Architecture Student. Syllabus Feedback

Please submit feedback regarding the course you have completed

Academic Year *

2021-22

B. Arch. / M. Arch. Class *

3rd year

Does the syllabus help to develop drawing skills adequately? *

Very Good

Does the syllabus help to develop presentation skills adequately? *



Very Good

Does the syllabus give adequate field experience? *

Very Good

Does the syllabus cover adequate activities base on Hands on Experience? *

Very Good

NAAC	YEAR-2022-2023
Criterion <u>1</u>	
Sub-Criterion <u>1.A.1</u>	
Proof format <u>Pdf</u>	
Revision <u>DVV - R1</u>	
 Dr. Sushir Chavan (Principal)	

Does the syllabus give adequate building services knowledge? *

Very Good

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? *

Very Good

How well were the teachers able to communicate? *

always effective

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? *

Every time

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. *


Regularly

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. *

Strongly agree

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

Above 90%

NAAC	YEAR-2022-2023
Criterion <u>1</u>	
Sub-Criterion <u>1.4.1</u>	
Proof format <u>Pdf</u>	
Revision <u>DW - R1</u>	
 Supriya Chavan (Principal)	

