

## CRITERION 1- CURRICULAR ASPECTS

### 1.4- Feedback System

#### 1.4.1

Institution obtains feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders

- 1) Students 2) Teachers 3) Employer 4) Alumni


ONLINE FEEDBACK 2023-24	
<b>D</b>	Sample filled feedback by stakeholders – 1) students 2) teachers 3) employer 4) alumni
	Feedback of 2023-24 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List of stakeholders 2023-24</li> <li>01 nos sample feedback from each stakeholder</li> </ul>
<b>E</b>	<b>ANALYSIS REPORT</b>
	1) Architecture Student Feedback 2) Architecture Teacher Feedback 3) Architecture Alumni Feedback 4) Architecture Employer Feedback
<b>F</b>	<b>SUMMERY &amp; CONCLUSION</b>
<b>G</b>	<b>ACTION TAKEN REPORT</b>
OFFLINE FEEDBACK 2023-24	
<b>D</b>	Sample filled feedback by stakeholders – 1) students 2) teachers
	Feedback of 2021-22 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>01 nos sample feedback from each stakeholder</li> </ul>

### 1.4.1

Institution obtains feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –

- 1) Students
- 2) Teachers
- 3) Employer
- 4) Alumni

#### A. feedback system on Overall Performance of the institute



### 1.4.1

Institution obtains feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –

- 1) Students
- 2) Teachers
- 3) Employer
- 4) Alumni

#### B. feedback system links of feedback form

1. B. Arch Overall Performance feedback form stakeholders –
  - Overall Performance feedback form 2023-24 link

#### LINKS OF FEEDBACK FORM

Sr. No.	Contents (Documents)
<b>A</b>	<b>FEEDBACK ON OVERALL PERFORMANCE</b> Stakeholders – <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Students</li><li>2) Teachers</li><li>3) Employer</li><li>4) Alumni</li></ol>
<b>1</b>	AY – 2023-24 Overall Performance of 2023-24 – feedback form link (Click on the link below) <a href="https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLScqQDOT_LFIS-CMVpBLM6I3Om88nM97EbL56h3rVhprPEN3OQ/viewform?usp=sf_link">https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLScqQDOT_LFIS-CMVpBLM6I3Om88nM97EbL56h3rVhprPEN3OQ/viewform?usp=sf_link</a>

### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

**D. Sample – filled feedback form by stakeholders –**

- 1) Students**
- 2) Teachers**
- 3) Employers**
- 4) Alumni**

**Feedback of 2023-24 (sample)**

- List of stakeholders 2023-24
- 01 no sample feedback from each stakeholder

SINHGAD TECHNICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY'S  
**SMT. KASHIBAI NAVALE COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE**

S. No. 10/part1, Ambegaon (BK), Pune 41 Phone: 020-24351438, ext.876.



List of stakeholders participated in Overall Performance of the Institute Feedback of 2023-24

**Stake holders list – STUDENT**

Akash Bhandari	Bhagyashri sanjay more	Sanika Patil
PAWANRAJE MAHESH PAWAR	Sonali kalyani	Anup vinayak kadam
Vaishnavi Ipkal	Vedantika Gadhave	Vaishnavi Ipkal
Ashwini Gavali	AYUSH SACHIN KSHIRSAGAR	Kanak Chavan
Avadhoot Narayan jagtap	Siddhi Raghunath Salunkhe	Rashmi Boga
Jayesh	Prachi Jadhav	Vaibhavi pawar
Prathamesh Diwale	Sahil Khirid	Samruddhi Pise
Sakshi andhare	Rutuja Chaturbhuj	Harish Sable
Kanak Chavan	Shivam Sunil Shinde	sakshi kadlag
Amey	Shubham Subhash kolap	Akanksha Chintamani
Sakshi H. Angre.	Atharv Patil	Mitali Agrawal
Shreya Bute	Aishwarya Nimbalkar	Radhika Jadhav
Uday Girish Roshankhede	Amruta Nandkumar Dani	Yash Gugale
Sanika Patil	Swarangi Kurhade	Snehal sarvagod
Siddhi Nitin Jadhav	Pooja Supekar	Devaki kamble
Disale Sayali Shrikrushna	Sakshi Abhijeet Misal	
Nisha Rahulsingh Chandele	Ankita prafull Kshirsagar	

## B.Arch Student's sample Feedback form

Responses cannot be edited

### Overall Feedback of the Institute 23-24

Smt. Kashibai Navale College Of Architecture values feedback from the stakeholders, students, teachers, Alumni, Employers – practicing Architects & other stakeholders regarding its syllabus.

To give your feedback, kindly fill this feedback form,

The respondent's email (ckanak1605@gmail.com) was recorded on submission of this form.

\* Indicates required question

Name \*

Kanak Chavan

Email ID: \*

ckanak1605@gmail.com

Contact No.: \*

8421591896

Select the course to comment: \*

B. Arch.

select your stakeholder category \*

student

### Architecture Student. Syllabus Feedback

Please submit feedback regarding the course you have completed

Academic Year \*

2023-24

B. Arch. / M. Arch. Class \*

4th year

Does the syllabus help to develop drawing skills adequately? \*

Good

Does the syllabus help to develop presentation skills adequately? \*

Very Good

Does the syllabus give adequate field experience? \*

Good

SINHGAD TECHNICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY'S  
**SMT. KASHIBAI NAVALE COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE**

S. No. 10/part1, Ambegaon (BK), Pune 41 Phone: 020-24351438, ext.876.



Does the syllabus cover adequate activities base on Hands on Experience? \*

Satisfactory ▼

Does the syllabus give adequate building services knowledge? \*

Good ▼

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

Good ▼

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

always effective ▼

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

Usually ▼



The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

Often

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

Strongly agree

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. \*

Above 90%

#### Conclusion

Your suggestions with respect to current professional affairs: \*

As per work architects are paid less

Suggestions if any: \*

None.

### M.Arch Student's sample Feedback form

Responses cannot be edited

## Overall Feedback of the Institute 23-24

Smt. Kashibai Navale College Of Architecture values feedback from the stakeholders, students, teachers, Alumni, Employers – practicing Architects & other stakeholders regarding its syllabus.

To give your feedback, kindly fill this feedback form,

The respondent's email (sdisale1@gmail.com) was recorded on submission of this form.

\* Indicates required question

Name \*

Disale Sayali Shrikrushna

Email ID: \*

sdisale1@gmail.com

Contact No.: \*

9850402677

Select the course to comment: \*

M. Arch.

select your stakeholder category \*

student

### Architecture Student. Syllabus Feedback

Please submit feedback regarding the course you have completed

Academic Year \*

2023-24

B. Arch. / M. Arch. Class \*

1st year

Does the syllabus help to develop drawing skills adequately? \*

Good

Does the syllabus help to develop presentation skills adequately? \*

Good

Does the syllabus give adequate field experience? \*

Good

Does the syllabus cover adequate activities base on Hands on Experience? \*

Satisfactory ▼

Does the syllabus give adequate building services knowledge? \*

Satisfactory ▼

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

Good ▼

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

always effective ▼

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

Every time ▼

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities \*  
for students.

Regularly ▼

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

Agree

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. \*

70 - 89%

### Conclusion

Your suggestions with respect to current professional affairs: \*

None

Suggestions if any: \*

None

### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

**E. ANALYSIS REPORT on filled feedback form by stakeholders –**


**1) Architecture students Feedback**

## A REPORT ON OVERALL PERFORMANCE FEEDBACK FORM YEAR 2023-24

SKNCOA values feedback from the stakeholders namely students, teachers, Alumni & Employer – practicing architects regarding overall performance of the Institute.

### Course – Architecture.


50 responses



### Stakeholder category –

select your stakeholder category

50 responses




### Conclusion

Your suggestions with respect to current professional affairs:



50 responses



### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni


**E. ANALYSIS REPORT on filled feedback form by stakeholders –**

**1) Architecture students Feedback**




### ARCHITECTURE STUDENT FEEDBACK

#### 1. Academic Year




#### 2. Class



3. Does the syllabus help to develop drawing skills adequately?

Does the syllabus help to develop drawing skills adequately?

50 responses




**Response:** 14% Students are very happy & saying that they have developed their drawing skills adequately, 58% students are saying Good about it, 28% students are satisfied.

4. Does the syllabus help to develop presentation skills adequately?

Does the syllabus help to develop presentation skills adequately?

50 responses




**Response:** 18% Students are very happy & saying that they have developed their presentation skills adequately, 62% students are saying Good about it, 14% students are satisfied, 6% are giving Poor feedback.

5. Does the syllabus give adequate field experience?


Does the syllabus give adequate field experience?

50 responses



**Response:** 14% Students are very happy & saying that they have had their field experience adequately, 30% students are saying Good about it, 52% students are satisfied. 4% are giving Poor feedback.

6. Does the syllabus cover adequate activities base on Hands on Experience?




**Response:** 20% Students are very happy & saying that they had very good activities based on Hands on Experience, 52% students are saying Good about it, 24% students are satisfied. 4% are giving Poor feedback.

7. Does the syllabus give adequate building services knowledge?

Does the syllabus give adequate building services knowledge?

50 responses




**Response:** 20% Students are very happy & saying that they had very good knowledge about building services, 64% students are saying Good about it, 16% students are satisfied.

8. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

50 responses




**Response:** 34% Students are very happy & saying that teachers come well prepared for the classes, 54% students are saying Good about it, 10% students are satisfied. 2% are giving Poor feedback.

9. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

How well were the teachers able to communicate?

50 responses




**Response:** 70% Students are very happy & saying that teachers come well prepared with very good & always effective communication skill, 16% students are saying sometime effective about it, 12% students are just satisfied.

10. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

50 responses




**Response:** 70% Students are very happy & saying that teachers every time discuss the assignments in class with us, 20% students are saying usual discussions about it, 6% students are saying occasional discussions. 4% are saying rare discussions.

11. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.

50 responses




**Response:** 38% Students are very happy & saying that institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, and field visit opportunities for us, 36% students are saying often about it, 10 % students are saying sometimes. 10 % are saying rare interest, 6 % students disagree to this.

12. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

50 responses




**Response:** 32% Students are very happy & strongly agreeing to say that teachers encourage them to participate in extracurricular activities, 56% students are agreeing about it, 10% students are neutral.

13. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

50 responses



**Response:** 44% Students are very happy & says that above 90% teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching, 44 % students says 70-89%, 8% students says 50-69%, 4% students say 30-49% teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

SINHGAD TECHNICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY'S  
**SMT. KASHIBAI NAVALE COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE**

S. No. 10/part1, Ambegaon (BK), Pune 41 Phone: 020-24351438, ext.876.



SR. NO.	STUDENT'S FEEDBACK QUESTION	IN PERCENTAGE (%)				
		VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	POOR	TOTAL
01	Does the syllabus help to develop drawing skills adequately?	14	58	28	0	100
02	Does the syllabus help to develop presentation skills adequately?	18	62	14	06	100
03	Does the syllabus give adequate field experience?	14	52	30	04	100
04	Does the syllabus cover adequate activities base on Hands on Experience?	20	52	24	04	100
05	Does the syllabus give adequate building services knowledge?	20	64	16	00	100
06	How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	34	54	10	02	100
07	How well were the teachers able to communicate?	70	16	12	02	100
08	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	70	20	06	04	100
09	The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.	38	36	10	10-6	100
10	Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.	32	56	10	04	100
11	What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.	44	44	08	04	100
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1100</b>
	<b>AVERAGE PERCENTAGE</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>46.73</b>	<b>15.27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100</b>

**Conclusion-**

Overall, 34% students have given VERY GOOD and 46.73 % GOOD feedback on Overall Performance of the Institute and 15.27 % Students are SATISFIED with the performance 4 % teachers are giving poor feedback.



### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

**E. ANALYSIS REPORT on filled feedback form by stakeholders –**


**2) Architecture Teacher Feedback**

### **ARCHITECTURE TEACHER FEEDBACK**

1. Do you find the syllabus correlates the various subjects?

Do you find the syllabus correlates the various subjects?

9 responses




**Response:** 22.2% Teachers are very happy & saying that the syllabus & overall performance of the institute is very good in correlating the various subjects, 22.2% teachers are satisfied. 55.6% are giving Good feedback.

2. Do you find allotments of adequate teaching hours for each subject as per syllabus?

Do you find allotments of adequate teaching hours for each subject as per syllabus?

9 responses




**Response:** 11.1% Teachers are very happy with the adequate teaching hours; 33.3% teachers are satisfied. 55.6% are giving Good feedback.

3. Do you find the continuation of syllabus contents in all years?

Do you find the continuation of syllabus contents in all years?

9 responses




**Response:** 22.2% Teachers are very happy with the continuation of subject contents every year; 11.1% teachers are satisfied. 66.7% are giving Good feedback.

4. Does the syllabus give knowledge about current building technology & materials?

Does the syllabus give knowledge about current building technology & materials?

9 responses




**Response:** 66.7% Teachers are very happy with knowledge about current building technology & materials; 22.2% teachers are satisfied. 11.1% are giving Poor feedback.

5. Is the syllabus content adequate to generate proficient architects?

Is the syllabus content adequate to generate proficient architects?

9 responses



**Response:** 11.1% Teachers are very happy with content which is to adequate generate proficient architects; 22.2% teachers are satisfied. 55.6% are giving Good feedback & 11.1% Poor.

SINHGAD TECHNICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY'S  
**SMT. KASHIBAI NAVALE COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE**

S. No. 10/part1, Ambegaon (BK), Pune 41 Phone: 020-24351438, ext.876.



SR. NO.	TEACHER'S FEEDBACK QUESTION	IN PERCENTAGE (%)				
		VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	POOR	TOTAL
01	Do you find the Overall Performance correlates the various subjects?	22.2	55.6	22.2	00	100
02	Do you find allotments of adequate teaching hours for each subject as per syllabus?	11.1	55.6	33.3	00	100
03	Do you find the continuation of Overall Performance contents in all years?	22.2	66.7	11.1	00	100
04	Does the Overall Performance give knowledge about current building technology & materials?	00	66.7	22.2	11.1	100
05	Is the Overall Performance content adequate to generate proficient architects?	11.1	55.6	22.2	11.1	100
	TOTAL	66.6	300.2	111	22.2	500
	AVERAGE PERCENTAGE	13.32	60.04	22.20	4.44	100

**Conclusion-**

Overall, 13.32% Teachers have given VERY GOOD and 60.04% GOOD feedback on Overall Performance of the Institute and 22.20% Teachers are SATISFIED with the performance 4.44% teachers are giving poor feedback.

### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

**E. ANALYSIS REPORT on filled feedback form by stakeholders –**


**3) Architecture Alumni Feedback**

### ARCHITECTURE ALUMNI FEEDBACK

1. Was the Overall Performance adequate for your professional development?

Was the syllabus content adequate for your professional development?

7 responses




**Response:** 42.9% alumni students are happy & saying that the overall performance of the institute was very good for their professional development, 14.3% alumni student are satisfied.

2. Were adequate software skills taught as a part of the syllabus?

Were adequate software skills taught as a part of the syllabus?

7 responses




**Response:** 42.9% alumni students are happy & saying that the syllabus taught very good software skills, 28.6% alumni student are satisfied & 28.6% alumni are saying good.

3. Did the Overall Performance enable you to seek admissions in other National & International Universities?

Did the syllabus enable you to seek admissions in other National & International Universities?

7 responses




**Response:** 28.6% alumni students are happy & saying that the syllabus taught very good software skills, 28.6% alumni student are satisfied & 42.9% alumni are saying good.

**4. Did the syllabus offer a holistic overall growth?**

Did the syllabus offer a holistic overall growth?

7 responses




**Response:** 28.6% alumni students are happy & saying that the syllabus covers a very good overall growth, 14.3% alumni student are satisfied & 57.1% alumni are saying good.

**5. Did the syllabus give knowledge about current Building Technology & Materials?**

Did the syllabus give knowledge about current Building Technology & Materials?

7 responses




**Response:** 42.9% alumni students are happy & saying that the syllabus covers knowledge about current building technology & materials, 28.6% alumni student are satisfied & 28.6% alumni are saying good.

**6. Was the syllabus adequate to help you solve real time problems faced in your professional career?**


Was the syllabus adequate to help you solve real time problems faced in your professional career?

7 responses



**Response:** 42.9% alumni students are happy & saying that syllabus adequate to help their solve real time problems faced in professional career, 14.3% alumni student are not happy at all & 42.9% alumni are saying good.

#### 7. Current Work status



**Response:** 71.4% alumni students working in offices as an Architects / employed, 14.3% alumni student are not happy at all & 28.6 % alumni are having their self-practice.



SINHGAD TECHNICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY'S  
**SMT. KASHIBAI NAVALE COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE**

S. No. 10/part1, Ambegaon (BK), Pune 41 Phone: 020-24351438, ext.876.



ALUMNI'S FEEDBACK		IN PERCENTAGE (%)				
SR. NO.	QUESTION	VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATSFACTORY	POOR	TOTAL
01	Was the Overall Performance content adequate for your professional development?	42.9	42.9	14.3	00	100
02	Were adequate software skills taught as a part of the syllabus?	42.9	28.6	28.6	00	100
03	Did the Overall Performance enable you to seek admissions in other National & International Universities?	28.6	28.6	42.9	00	100
04	Did the Overall Performance offer a holistic overall growth?	28.6	14.3	57.1	00	100
05	Did the Overall Performance give knowledge about current Building Technology & Materials?	42.9	28.6	28.6	00	100
06	Was the Overall Performance adequate to help you solve real time problems faced in your professional career?	42.9	42.9	00	14.3	100
07	Current work status	00	00	71.4	28.6	100
	TOTAL	228.8	185.9	242.9	42.9	700
	AVERAGE PERCENTAGE	32.69	26.56	34.70	6.13	100

**Conclusion-**

Overall, 32.69 % Alumni have given VERY GOOD and 26.56% GOOD feedback on Overall Performance of the Institute and 34.70% employers are satisfied with the performance 6.13% alumni are giving poor feedback.

### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**


1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

**E. ANALYSIS REPORT on filled feedback form by stakeholders –**

**4) Architecture Employer Feedback**

### ARCHITECTURE EMPLOYER FEEDBACK

1. Are the students 'Drawing Skills' adequately developed?




**Response:** out of all the feedbacks collected, 66.7% employers are giving Good feedback for the drawing skills students have & 33.33% employers are satisfied.

2. Are the student's software skills & presentation skills adequately developed?

Are the student's software skills & presentation skills adequately developed?

3 responses




**Response:** all the employers are happy with the software skills & presentation skills what students have.

3. Are the students proficient in communication skills to deal with clients, contractors, suppliers and agencies?

Are the students proficient in communication skills to deal with clients, contractors, suppliers and agencies?

3 responses



**Response:** 33.3% employers are happy with the communications kills of the students, 33.3% are saying good, & 33.3 % are not satisfied.

4. Can the student individually undertake site visits competently?

Can the student individually undertake site visits competently?

3 responses




**Response:** 100% employers are happy & satisfied with the students who can undertake the site visit competently.

5. Are the students equipped with knowledge about current Building Technology and Materials?

Are the students equipped with knowledge about current Building Technology and Materials?

3 responses



**Response:** 100% employers are saying that students coming from SKNCOA are Good at knowledge about current Building technology & materials.

SINHGAD TECHNICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY'S  
**SMT. KASHIBAI NAVALE COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE**

S. No. 10/part1, Ambegaon (BK), Pune 41 Phone: 020-24351438, ext.876.



SR. NO.	EMPLOYER'S FEEDBACK QUESTION	IN PERCENTAGE (%)				
		VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	POOR	TOTAL
01	Are the students 'Drawing Skills' adequately developed?	00	66.7	33.3	0	100
02	Are the student's software skills & presentation skills adequately developed?	0	100	0	0	100
03	Are the students proficient in communication skills to deal with clients, contractors, suppliers and agencies?	0	33.3	33.3	33.3	100
04	Can the student individually undertake site visits competently?	0	0	100	0	100
05	Are the students equipped with knowledge about current Building Technology and Materials?	0	100	0	0	100
06	Is the Overall Performance adequate to help the student solve real time problems faced in their professional career?	20	33.3	33.3	13.3	100
07	Are the students able to do multiple tasks in stipulated time?	0	50	50	0	100
	TOTAL	20	383.3	249.9	46.6	700
	AVERAGE PERCENTAGE	2.86	54.76	35.70	6.66	100

**Conclusion-**

Overall, 2.86 % Employer had given VERY GOOD and 54.76% GOOD feedback on Overall Performance of the Institute and 35.70% employers are satisfied with the performance.

### **1.4.1**

**Institution obtain feedback on the Overall Performance of the institution from the following stakeholders –**

1. Students
2. Teachers
3. Employers
4. Alumni

## **F. SUMMERY & CONCLUSION**

### SUMMARY

NOS	OVERALL FEEDBACK (Average)	PERCENTAGE %				
		VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	POOR	TOTAL
1	Students	30.94	42.49	22.60	3.66	100
2	Teachers	13.32	60.04	22.20	4.44	100
3	Alumni	32.69	26.56	34.70	6.13	100
4	Employer	2.86	54.76	35.70	6.66	100
	<b>Total Average</b>	<b>19.95</b>	<b>45.96</b>	<b>28.80</b>	<b>5.22</b>	<b>100</b>

### CONCLUSION

- Overall, 73.43% **Students** had given very good & Good Feedback on overall performance of the B. Arch. & 22.60% students are satisfied with the overall performance of the institute.
- Overall, 73.36% **Teachers** had given very good & Good Feedback on overall performance of the B. Arch. & 22.20% teachers are satisfied with the overall performance of the institute.
- Overall, 59.25% **Alumni** had given very good & Good Feedback on overall performance of the B. Arch. & 34.70% Alumni are satisfied with the overall performance of the institute.
- Overall, 57.62% **Employer** had given very good & Good Feedback on overall performance of the B. Arch. & 35.70% Alumni are satisfied with the overall performance of the institute & 6.66% said it can be improved in communication skills.